# Axiomatic Set Theory 

Michael Meyling
July 30, 2011

The source for this document can be found here:

```
http://www.qedeq.org/0_04_04/doc/math/qedeq_set_theory_v1.xml
```

Copyright by the authors. All rights reserved.
If you have any questions, suggestions or want to add something to the list of modules that use this one, please send an email to the address mime@qedeq.org The authors of this document are: Michael Meyling michael@meyling.com

## Contents

Preface ..... 5
Introduction ..... 7
1 Basics ..... 9
1.1 Classes and Sets ..... 9
1.2 Special Classes ..... 14
2 Boolean Algebra of Classes ..... 17
2.1 Boolean Class Operators ..... 17
2.2 Boolean Algebra ..... 19
2.3 Order ..... 19
2.4 Singletons and Class Pairs ..... 21
2.5 Infinite Boolean Operators ..... 22
2.6 Power Class Building ..... 24
3 Sets, Relations and Functions ..... 25
3.1 Sets ..... 25
3.2 Ordered Pair ..... 26
3.3 Cartesian Product ..... 27
3.4 Relations ..... 27
3.5 Relation Algebra ..... 28
3.6 Equivalence Relations ..... 28
3.7 Maps and Functions ..... 28
4 Natural Numbers ..... 29
4.1 Foundation and Infinity ..... 29
4.2 Definition and Basic Properties ..... 29
4.3 Induction ..... 29
4.4 Sequences and Normal Functions ..... 30
4.5 Recursion ..... 30
5 Axiom of Choice ..... 31
5.1 Well-Ordering ..... 31
5.2 Applications of the Axiom of Choice ..... 31
6 Continuum ..... 33
Bibliography ..... 35
Index ..... 35

## Preface

Mathematics is a science with a structure that achieved enormous dimensions in the course of time. This huge stronghold has only a small set theoretic foundation and its firmness rests upon simple predicate calculus mortar. In principle the assembly could be comprehended by any mathematician. From every newest turret of mathematical cognition each path of logical dependency could be followed all the way down to its set theoretic roots.
This document wants to provide some help to accomplish this task. What we aim at is to get an understandable presentation of the set theoretic roots. But despite all comprehensibility it is possible to drill very deep into details. Even into the level of a formal proof. For that purpose this document will exist in different detail levels. The original source of this document is written in a formal language. So it is possible to prove the propositions automatically with a computer program.

Lets start by the roots...
This document is not finished and is updated from time to time. Especially at the locations marked with " +++ " additions or changes will be made.

I am deeply grateful to my wife Gesine Dräger and our son Lennart for their support and patience.

Hamburg, july 2011
Michael Meyling

## Introduction

Within this document we use the results of http://www.qedeq.org/0_04_04/ doc/math/qedeq_logic_v1_en.pdf [1]. After mathematical logic has provided us with the methods of reasoning we start with a very basic theory. Set theory deals with objects and their collections. This theory is interesting for two reasons. First, nearly all mathematical fields use it. Second, every mathematical statement or proof could be cast into formulas within set theory. Number theory, algebra, analysis an all other theories could be constructed within.

This document contains the mathematical foundation of set theory. Goal is the presentation of elementary results which are needed in other mathematical disciplines. After the basics the boolean algebra of classes is in focus. Next are some thoughts about relations and functions. An important achievement is the definition of the natural numbers and their fulfillment of the Peano axioms. Also the concept of recursion is discussed. Furthermore the axiom of choice is examined. At the end the continuum is thematised.

Although the presentation is axiomatic the results shall match the mathematical usage. Therefore the set theoretic axiom system of A. P. Morse and J. L. Kelley (MK) was chosen. The presentation is very much along the lines of E. J. Lemmons excellent and strongly recommended book [2].

## Chapter 1

## Basics

In this chapter we start with the very basic axioms and definitions of set theory. We shall make no attempt to introduce a formal language ${ }^{1}$ but shall be content with the common logical operators. To be more precise: precondition is a firstorder predicate calculus with identity.
G. Cantor, who is considered the founder of set theory, gave in a publication in 1895 a description of the term set.

By a "set" we are to understand any collection into a whole $M$ of definite and separate objects $m$ of our intuition or our thought. These objects are called the "elements" of $M$.

This collection can be specified by giving a condition for membership. Around 1900 various paradoxes in this naive set theory were discovered. These paradoxes base on giving tricky conditions for membership.

There exist different ways out of those contradictions. In this text we don't restrict the condition for membership but we call the result a class. Additional axioms allow us to call certain classes sets again. All sets are classes, but not all classes are sets. Sets are classes which are themselves members of classes, whilst a class which is not a set is a class which is not a member of any class.

### 1.1 Classes and Sets

Although we want to speak about sets at the very beginning we have classes. No formal definition of a class will be given. Informally, a class is a collection of objects, the involved objects are called the elements or members of the class. Sets will be construed as a special kind of class.

The following definitions and axioms are due to a strengthened version of von Neumann-Bernays-Gödel's set theory $(N B G)$. This version is called MK which is short for Morse-Kelley.

The theory of sets introduced here has initial objects, called classes. Furthermore the only predefined symbol is for a binary relation called membership.

[^0]Initial Definition 1 (Membership Operator). [definition:in]

$$
x \in y
$$

We also say " $x$ is element of $y$ ", " $x$ belongs to $y$ ", " $x$ is a member of $y$ " or " $x$ is in $y$ ". Beside identity this is the only predicate we start with. All other will be defined. Also no function constants are initially given. Later on we will successively fix their meaning.
Although we simply can negate the membership predicate we also want to define a shorthand notation for it.

Definition 2 (Non Membership Operator). [definition:notin]

$$
x \notin y \leftrightarrow \neg x \in y
$$

Our first axiom states that, for any classes $x$ and $y$, if the membership of $x$ and $y$ are the same, then $x$ and $y$ are the same.

Axiom 1 (Extensionality). [axion: extensionality]

$$
\forall z(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) \rightarrow x=y
$$

The classes $x$ and $y$ may be defined in entirely different ways, for example:
$x=$ class of all nonnegative integers,
$y=$ class of all integers, that can be written as sum of four squares,
but if they have the same members, they are the same class.
Now to our first proposition. We can reverse the implication in the axiom of extensionality.

Proposition 1.

$$
\forall z(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) \leftrightarrow x=y
$$

Proof. This a simple consequence of the second identity axiom. We assume $x=y$. Then follows $\phi(x) \leftrightarrow \phi(y)$ for any predicate $\phi$. So follows $z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y$ for any $z$. Therefore we have $\forall z z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y$. So we derived $x=y \rightarrow$ $\forall z z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y$. Together with axiom 1 we get the desired result.

If identity were not part of our underlying logic, then we should need to take this as a definition of identity. But then another axiom is needed and the theory presentation is not so smooth for technical reasons (derivation of the identity axioms). ${ }^{2}$

Now we specify sets.
Definition 3 (Set). [definition: isset]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow \exists y x \in y
$$

So sets are nothing else than special classes. A class is a set iff it is a member of any class.
A trivial consequence of this definition is the following equivalence.

[^1]Proposition 2.

$$
x \in y \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge x \in y)
$$

Proof. ' $\Rightarrow$ ': Assume $x \in y$. It follows $\exists u x \in u$ and therefore $\mathfrak{M}(x)$ and logically $\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge x \in y$.
$' \Leftarrow$ ': From $\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge x \in y$ we conclude $x \in y$.
Now we can rewrite the axiom of extensionality as follows. ${ }^{3}$
Proposition 3.

$$
x=y \leftrightarrow \forall \mathfrak{M}(z) \quad(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y)
$$

Proof. ' $\Rightarrow$ ': Simulary to proof of proposition 1 we get the first implication by the second identity axiom.
$' \Leftarrow$ ': Assume $\forall \mathfrak{M}(z)(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y)$. Let $u$ be an arbitrary class. If $u \in x$ then $u$ is a set by definition 3 , and hence by the assumption, $u \in y$. similarly $u \in y \rightarrow u \in x$. Since $u$ is arbitrary, it follows that $\forall u(u \in x \leftrightarrow u \in y)$. Thus by the axiom $1, x=y$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
x=y & \leftrightarrow & \forall z(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) & \\
& \leftrightarrow & \text { this is proposition 1 } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall(\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge z \in x \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge z \in y) & & \text { proposition 2 } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall(\mathfrak{M}(z) \rightarrow(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y)) & & \text { proposition 1 (bh) [1] } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall \mathfrak{M}(z) z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) & & \text { axiom 11 [1] }
\end{array}
$$

Our next set theoretic axiom makes it simple to create new classes. A class could be characterized by a predicate calculus formula.

Axiom 2 (Comprehension). [axiom: comprehension]

$$
\exists x \forall y(y \in x \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)))
$$

By a small modification of the above axiom we could get a $N B G$ axiom system of set theory due to John von Neumann, Isaak Bernays and Kurt Gödel. For that purpose we call a formula predicative, if all of its bound subject variables are restricted to sets. Therefore predicative formulas formalize 'set properties'. ${ }^{4}$ If we postulate $\phi$ to be predicative we achieve a NBG system ${ }^{5}$
By the comprehension axiom and the axiom of extensionality a relationship between a formula $\phi(y)$ and the class defined by this formula is described. The comprehension axiom proposes the existence of at least one class, where the proposition $\mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)$ holds for all of its elements. The axiom of extensionality and the identity axioms make sure, that there is maximal one class of this kind: two classes with the same elements are equal in the sense of replacement in all appropriate propositions. In other words: there is one and only one such class.

Proposition 4.

$$
\exists!x \forall y(y \in x \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)))
$$

[^2]Proof. We must show:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists x & \forall y(y \in x \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)) \\
\wedge \forall u \forall v & (\forall y(y \in u \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)) \wedge \forall y(y \in v \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y))) \\
& \rightarrow u=v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u$ and $v$ be arbitrary. Furthermore let us assume:
$\forall y(y \in u \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)) \wedge \forall y(y \in v \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)))$
Now with proposition $2(\mathrm{~h})[1]: \forall y((y \in u \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)) \wedge(y \in v \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge$ $\phi(y))$ )
With proposition 1 (bg) [1] we derive: $\forall y((y \in u \leftrightarrow y \in v))$. And with proposition 1 follows $u=v$. So we have shown:
$\forall u \forall v(\forall y(y \in u \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y)) \wedge \forall y(y \in v \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y))) \rightarrow u=v)$
Together with axiom 2 we get the proposition.
Starting with proposition 4 we can extend the syntax and provide a new abbreviation.

Rule 1 (Class definition). [rule:classDefinition]
Name: CLASS_DEFINITION_BY_FORMULA - Version: 1.00.00
For every formula $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$ we define the term expression $\left\{x_{1} \mid \alpha\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$ where $x_{1}$ is a subject variable that is not bound within $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$. The free variables of $\left\{x_{1} \mid \alpha\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$ are the are the free variables of $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$. The bound variables correspond to the bound variables of $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$ enhanced by $x_{1}$.

All deduction rules are accordingly extended.
Based on: proposition 4
In particular the substitution rules must be adapted because now a term can have bound subject variables. ${ }^{6}$ In the following this new notation is used.

We want to give the new syntax a meaning and by looking at proposition 4 we introduce the following axiom.

Axiom 3 (Class Definition Axiom). [axiom:classDefinition]

$$
y \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y))
$$

This axiom together with rule 1 is a conservative extension of our formal language. This means that no additional formulas that fulfill the old syntax can be derived. It is just convenient to have an elegant new notation. ${ }^{7}$
This new notation can be easily transformed in the old syntax. Using the new term type with the initial predicates can be expressed as follows.

Proposition 5.

$$
\begin{gather*}
z \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge \phi(z))  \tag{a}\\
z=\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \leftrightarrow \forall u(u \in z \leftrightarrow u \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\})  \tag{b}\\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\}=\{y \mid \psi(y)\} \leftrightarrow \forall u(u \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \leftrightarrow u \in\{y \mid \psi(y)\})  \tag{c}\\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \in\{y \mid \psi(y)\} \leftrightarrow \forall u \forall v((u=\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \wedge v=  \tag{d}\\
\{y \mid \psi(y)\}) \rightarrow u \in v) \\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \in z \leftrightarrow \forall u(u=\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \rightarrow u \in z) \tag{e}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^3]+++ if the formula would be rendered correctly it should look like this:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
z \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\} & \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge \phi(z)  \tag{a}\\
y=\{x \mid \phi(x)\} & \leftrightarrow \forall z(z \in y \leftrightarrow z \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\})  \tag{b}\\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\}=\{y \mid \psi(y)\} & \leftrightarrow \forall u(u \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\}  \tag{c}\\
& \leftrightarrow u \in\{y \mid \psi(y)\}) \\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \in\{y \mid \psi(y)\} & \leftrightarrow \forall u \forall v((u=\{x \mid \phi(x)\}  \tag{d}\\
& \wedge v=\{y \mid \psi(y)\}) \rightarrow u \in v) \\
& \wedge v  \tag{e}\\
\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \in z & \leftrightarrow \forall u(u=\{x \mid \phi(x)\} \rightarrow u \in z)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Proof. The formula a) is simply axiom 3. We get b) and c) with proposition 1. And d) and e) come from identity theorms.

Therefore the new syntax can be eliminated by successively applying the above theorems.

Because the new notation fixes a term completely the following must be true.
Proposition 6. $\qquad$

$$
\exists!x x=\{y \mid \phi(y)\}
$$

Proof.

```
\exists! x\forallz(z\inx\leftrightarrow\mathscr{M}(z)\wedge\phi(z)) this is proposition 4
\exists! x\forallz(z\inx\leftrightarrowz\in{y|\phi(y)} proposition 5
\exists! x x = {y|\phi(y)} proposition 1
```

The equivalence of properties enables us to conclude the identity of the associated classes.

Proposition 7.

$$
\forall x(\phi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x)) \rightarrow\{x \mid \phi(x)\}=\{x \mid \psi(x)\}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(\phi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x)) & \rightarrow & (\phi(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(x)) & \\
\text { proposition 1 (bl) } \\
\forall x((\phi)] \\
\forall x(\phi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x)) & \rightarrow(\phi(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(x))) & & \text { rule 11 [1] } \\
& \rightarrow & \forall x(x \in\{y \mid \phi(y)\} \leftrightarrow x \in\{y \mid \psi(y)\}) & \\
\text { proposition 2 (a) } & & \text { proposition 5 } \\
& \rightarrow \quad\{y \mid \phi(y)\}=\{y \mid \psi(y)\} & & \text { proposition 5 }
\end{array}
$$

We remark that the reverse implication is false. This is due to the fact that the class notation embraces only sets. For example: if $\phi(x) \leftrightarrow x \neq x$ and $\psi(x) \leftrightarrow$ $\forall y(y \in x \rightarrow y \notin y)$ then the associated classes are identical to the empty class (definition 6). No class fulfills $\phi(x)$ but Russells class (definition 4) satifies $\psi(x)$.

Every class can be described by a property: being a member of the class.
Proposition 8. $\qquad$

$$
x=\{y \mid y \in x\}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
z \in x & \leftrightarrow & z \in x \wedge \mathfrak{M}(z) & \text { proposition 2 } \\
z \in x & \leftrightarrow & z \in\{y \mid y\} & \\
\text { proposition } 5 \\
\forall z(z \in x & \leftrightarrow & z \in\{y \mid y\}) & \\
\text { rule 11 [1] } \\
x & =\{y \mid y\} & & \text { proposition 1 }
\end{array}
$$

### 1.2 Special Classes

In this section we define our first classes.
Russells class can now be simply defined.
Definition 4 (Russell Class). [def inition:Russel1Class]

$$
\mathfrak{R u}=\{x \mid x \notin x\}
$$

The Russell class is a proper class. This means it is no set.
Proposition 9. [theoren: Russel1classisclass]

$$
\neg \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R u})
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rcll}
y \in\{x \mid \phi(x)\} & \leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \phi(y) & \text { this is proposition } 5 \\
y \in\{x \mid x \notin x\} & \leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge y \notin y & \text { substitution for } \phi \\
y \in \mathfrak{R u} & \leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge y \notin y & \text { definition } 4 \\
\mathfrak{M u} \in \mathfrak{R u} & \leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R u}) \wedge \mathfrak{M u} \notin \mathfrak{R u} & \text { substitution for } y \\
\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R u}) \wedge \mathfrak{R u} \in \mathfrak{R u} & \leftrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R u}) \wedge \mathfrak{R u} \notin \mathfrak{R u} & \text { proposition 2 } \\
& \neg \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R u}) & & \text { proposition 1 (bi) [1] }
\end{array}
$$

The universal class should contain everything.
Definition 5 (Universal Class). [def inition:universalClass]

$$
\mathfrak{V}=\{x \mid x=x\}
$$

It is no wonder that the following is true because a class has only sets as elements.
Proposition 10. $\qquad$

$$
x \in \mathfrak{V} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(x)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \in\{y \mid \phi(y)\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \phi(x) \quad \text { this is proposition } 5 \\
& x \in\{y \mid y=y\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge y=y \quad \text { substitution for } \phi \\
& x \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge y=y \quad \text { definition } 5 \\
& x \in \mathfrak{V} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(x) \quad \text { proposition } 1 \text { (au) [1] and axiom } 7 \text { [1] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Being in the universal class is therefore the same as being a set.
The universal class contains all sets.
Proposition 11. $\qquad$

$$
\mathfrak{V}=\{x \mid \mathfrak{M}(x)\}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{V}=\{x \mid x=x\} \quad \text { definition } 5 \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad y \in\{x \mid x=x\} \quad \text { proposition } 1 \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge y=y \quad \text { proposition } 5 \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \top \quad \text { rule } 10 \text { [1] } \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y) \quad \text { proposition } 1 \text { (au) [1] } \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y) \quad \text { proposition } 1 \text { (al) [1] } \\
& \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{V} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad y \in\{x \mid \mathfrak{M}(x)\} \quad \text { proposition } 5 \\
& \mathfrak{V}=\{x \mid \mathfrak{M}(x)\} \quad \text { proposition } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogous we define the empty class. Later on we will learn that the empty class is a set. To achieve this result we lack some other set axioms. Nevertheless we might already call this class empty set sometime.

Definition 6 (Empty Class). [def inition: emptyset]

$$
\emptyset=\{x \mid x \neq x\}
$$

No class is element of the empty class.
Proposition 12. $\qquad$
$\forall z z \notin \emptyset$

Proof. Asumption: $z \in \emptyset$

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
z \in\{x \mid x \neq x\} & \text { definition } 6 \\
\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge z \neq z & \text { axiom 3 } \\
z \neq z & \text { elementary logic } \\
\neg(z=z) & \text { definition 4 [1] }
\end{array}
$$

So we have a contradiction to axiom 7 [1] and our asumption must be false. So we have $\neg(z \in \emptyset)$ and can conclude the following.

```
\neg(z\in\emptyset)
    z\not\in\emptyset definition 2
\forallzz\not\in\emptyset rule 11 [1]
```

A class with no elements is the empty class.
Proposition 13.
[theoren: :ncClassisvemberisEmptyset]

$$
\forall z z \notin x \leftrightarrow x=\emptyset
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=\emptyset & \leftrightarrow \forall z(z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in \emptyset) & & \text { proposition 1 } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall z \forall \nexists(z \notin \leftrightarrow \not \leftrightarrow \emptyset) & & \text { rule 8 [1] with proposition 1 (ao) [1] } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall z(z \notin x \leftrightarrow \top) & & \text { proposition 12 } \\
& \leftrightarrow \forall z z \notin x & & \text { rule 8 [1] with proposition 1 (be) [1] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chapter 2

## Boolean Algebra of Classes

Now the elementary class operations and their properties are described.
A boolean algebra is an algebraic structure that abstracts from the logical operators and, or, not and their set theoretic connectives intersection, union, complement.
The name is due to $G$. Boole who defined this structure to be able to use algebraic methods within propositional calculus.

### 2.1 Boolean Class Operators

With the classs notation rule 1 we can define new class operators with the help of logical connectives.
The union of two classes contains exactly all elements of both classes.
Definition 7 (Union Operator). [definition:union]

$$
(x \cup y)=\{z \mid(z \in x \vee z \in y)\}
$$

Analogous the intersection of two classes is defined as the class which contains exactly those elements that are member of both classes.

Definition 8 (Intersection Operator).

$$
(x \cap y)=\{z \mid(z \in x \wedge z \in y)\}
$$

Also the complement of a class can be simply defined.
Definition 9 (Complement Operator). [definition: complement]

$$
\bar{x}=\{z \mid z \notin x\}
$$

If a set is an element of the union of two classes can be checked directly.
Proposition 14. $\qquad$

$$
z \in(x \cup y) \leftrightarrow(z \in x \vee z \in y)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(x \cup y) & =\{z \mid(z \in x \vee z \in y)\} & \\
\text { definition 7 } \\
u \in(x \cup y) & \leftrightarrow u \in\{z \mid(z \in x \vee z \in y)\} & \text { proposition 3 [1] } \\
u \in(x \cup y) & \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge(u \in x \vee u \in y)) & \text { axiom 3 } \\
u \in(x \cup y) & \leftrightarrow((\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in x) \vee(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in y)) & \text { proposition } \\
u \in(x \cup y) & \leftrightarrow(u \in x \vee u \in y) & \text { (at) [1] } \\
u(x)
\end{array}
$$

Membership of an intersection can be written down directly too.
Proposition 15.

$$
z \in(x \cap y) \leftrightarrow(z \in x \wedge z \in y)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
(x \cap y) & = & \{z \mid(z \in x \wedge z \in y)\} & \\
\text { definition 7 } \\
u \in(x \cap y) & \leftrightarrow & u \in\{z \mid(z \in x \wedge z \in y)\} & \\
\text { proposition 3 [1] } \\
u \in(x \cap y) & \leftrightarrow & (\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge(u \in x \wedge u \in y)) & \\
\text { axiom 3 } \\
u \in(x \cap y) & \leftrightarrow & ((\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in x) \wedge u \in y)) & \text { proposition 1 (aj) [1] } \\
u \in(x \cap y) & \leftrightarrow & (u \in x \wedge u \in y) & \text { proposition 2 }
\end{array}
$$

For the membership of the complement we have a similar result but here we must check the property is a set explicitly.

Proposition 16.

$$
z \in \bar{x} \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge z \notin x)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\bar{x} & =\{z \mid z \notin x\} & \text { definition 9 } \\
u \in \bar{x} \leftrightarrow u \in\{z \mid z \notin x\} & \text { proposition } 3 \text { [1] } \\
u \in \bar{x} & \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \notin x) & \text { axiom 3 }
\end{array}
$$

By the previous propositions we learned how to transform the logical operators $\vee, \wedge$ and $\neg$ into the class operators $\cup, \cap$ and ${ }^{-}$. So we are now able to transform the logical laws into set theoretic propositions.

Proposition 17.

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x \cup y)=(y \cup x)  \tag{a}\\
(x \cap y)=(y \cap x)  \tag{b}\\
((x \cup y) \cup z)=(x \cup(y \cup z))  \tag{c}\\
((x \cap y) \cap z)=(x \cap(y \cap z))  \tag{d}\\
x=(x \cup x) \\
x=(x \cap x)  \tag{f}\\
\overline{\bar{x}}=x  \tag{g}\\
\overline{(x \cup y)}=(\bar{x} \cap \bar{y})  \tag{i}\\
\overline{(x \cap y)}=(\bar{x} \cup \bar{y})  \tag{j}\\
(x \cup(y \cap z))=((x \cup y) \cap(x \cup z))  \tag{k}\\
(x \cap(y \cup z))=((x \cap y) \cup(x \cap z))  \tag{l}\\
\bar{\emptyset}=\mathfrak{V} \\
\overline{\mathfrak{V}}=\emptyset \\
(x \cap \mathfrak{V})=x \\
(x \cap \emptyset)=\emptyset \\
(x \cup \mathfrak{V})=\mathfrak{V}
\end{gather*}
$$

(e)

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x \cup \emptyset)=x  \tag{q}\\
& (x \cup \bar{x})=\mathfrak{V} \\
& (x \cap \bar{x})=\emptyset
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We show the proof for (g) as an example.

```
\(u \in x \quad \leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in x)\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\perp \vee(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in x))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad((\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge \neg \mathfrak{M}(u)) \vee(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \in x))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge(\neg \mathfrak{M}(u)) \wedge u \in x))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge(\neg \mathfrak{M}(u)) \wedge \neg \neg u \in x))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge(\neg \mathfrak{M}(u)) \wedge \neg u \notin x))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge \neg(\mathfrak{M}(u)) \vee u \notin x)) \quad\) proposition 1 (aq) [1]
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge \neg(u \in \bar{x}))\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad(\mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge u \notin \bar{x})\)
    \(\leftrightarrow \quad u \in \overline{\bar{x}}\)
```

definition 9
proposition 1 (ax) [1]
proposition 1 (az) [1]
proposition 1 (at) [1]
proposition 1 (am) [1]
definition 2
proposition 16
definition 2
proposition 16

So we have shown: $u \in x \leftrightarrow u \in \overline{\bar{x}}$ Now we can argue further on.

```
    \(u \in x \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u \in \overline{\bar{x}}\)
\(\forall u(u \in x \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u \in \overline{\bar{x}}) \quad\) rule 11 [1]
\(\forall u u \in x \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \forall u u \in \overline{\bar{x}} \quad\) proposition 2 (c) [1]
    \(x=\overline{\bar{x}} \quad\) proposition 3
```


### 2.2 Boolean Algebra

The classes build together with the operators $\cap, \cup,^{-}$and the constants $\emptyset$ a Boolean algebra,
+++ References to commutative law, associative law, distributive law, idempotence, etc.

### 2.3 Order

For a boolean algebra a partial order relation can be defined. Therefore we can do the same for the boolean class algebra.
We define the subclass relation (inclusion) by an intersection.
Definition 10 (Subclass Predicate). [def inition: subclass]

$$
x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow(x \cap y)=x
$$

If $x$ and $y$ are sets we also say: $x$ is subset of $y$.
Now we get the common subclass definition as a proposition.
Proposition 18.
[theorem: subsetIfMemberschipImp1]

$$
x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow \forall z(z \in x \rightarrow z \in y)
$$

This relation is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric. As intended it is a partial order relation with $\emptyset$ as minimum and $\mathfrak{V}$ as maximum element.

Proposition 19. $\qquad$

An intersection is always a subclass of its original classes.
Proposition 20.
[theorem: intersectionTssubset]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \cap y) \subseteq x \\
& (x \cap y) \subseteq y
\end{aligned}
$$

(a)
(b)

A union has its original classes as subclasses.
Proposition 21.
[theoren:unionissuperset]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \subseteq(x \cup y) \\
& y \subseteq(x \cup y)
\end{aligned}
$$

(a)
(b)

With two classes the union of both is also subclass. And if a class is subclass of two other classes it is also subclass of their intersection. For both implications the reverse is also true.

Proposition 22.

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x \subseteq z \wedge y \subseteq z) \leftrightarrow(x \cup y) \subseteq z \\
& (z \subseteq x \wedge z \subseteq y) \leftrightarrow z \subseteq(x \cap y) \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

(a)

Intersection and union of a class does not change an existing subclass relation.
Proposition 23.
[theorem: subsetaddition]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \subseteq y \rightarrow(x \cup z) \subseteq(y \cup z) \\
& x \subseteq y \rightarrow(x \cap z) \subseteq(y \cap z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(a)
(b)

Complement building inverts the subclass relation.
Proposition 24.
[theoren: : subsetComplement]

$$
x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow \bar{y} \subseteq \bar{x}
$$

For the complement and subclass relation the following equivalences hold.
Proposition 25.

$$
\begin{gather*}
x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow(x \cap \bar{y})=\emptyset  \tag{a}\\
x \subseteq y \leftrightarrow(\bar{x} \cup y)=\mathfrak{V}  \tag{b}\\
x \subseteq \bar{y} \leftrightarrow(x \cap y)=\emptyset  \tag{c}\\
(x \cap y) \subseteq z \leftrightarrow x \subseteq(\bar{y} \cup z) \tag{d}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{c}
x \subseteq x \\
(x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq z) \rightarrow x \subseteq z
\end{array}  \tag{a}\\
& (x \subseteq y \wedge y \subseteq x) \leftrightarrow x=y  \tag{b}\\
& \emptyset \subseteq x  \tag{c}\\
& x \subseteq \mathfrak{V}  \tag{d}\\
& x \subseteq \emptyset \rightarrow x=\emptyset  \tag{e}\\
& \mathfrak{V} \subseteq x \rightarrow x=\mathfrak{V} \tag{f}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Singletons and Class Pairs

A class can be defined by explicitly listing its members.
Especially by saying that one element is inside the class we can define the so called singleton. With proposition 4 again we can extend the syntax and provide a new abbreviation.

Definition 11 (Singleton). [def inition: singleton]

$$
\{x\}=\{y \mid(\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow y=x)\}
$$

If $x$ is a proper class the term $\{x\}$ is also defined. In this case all sets $y$ fulfill the condition $\mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge(\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow y=x)$ and the singleton is identical with the universal class. This leads to a smother handling of the singleton. ${ }^{1}$
For sets the singleton has only the set itself as a member.
Proposition 26.
[theoren: :setSingletonhassetasonlyEElement]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \forall z(z \in\{x\} \leftrightarrow z=x)
$$

If we have a proper class the singleton is the universal class.
Proposition 27.
[theorem : properSingletonIsUniversalClass]

$$
\neg \mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow\{x\}=\mathfrak{V}
$$

Beeing a set singleton is equivalent to be member of its singleton.
Proposition 28. $\qquad$

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow x \in\{x\}
$$

Now we can simply define the pair class as union of two singletons.
Definition 12 (Pair). [def inition:pair]

$$
\{x, y\}=(\{x\} \cup\{y\})
$$

A class pair can be described directly without referencing singletons.
Proposition 29.
[theorem: classPairIsEqual]

$$
\{x, y\}=\{z \mid((\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow(z=x \vee z=y))\}
$$

For set pairs beeing a member of a pair can canonically be simplified.
Proposition 30.

$$
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \forall z(z \in\{x, y\} \leftrightarrow(z=x \vee z=y))
$$

If one of the classes for building a class pair is proper the resulting pair is identical to the universal set.

## Proposition 31.

[^4]$$
(\neg \mathfrak{M}(x) \vee \neg \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow\{x, y\}=\mathfrak{V}
$$

We note that building a class pair is commutative.
Proposition 32.
[theorem: classPairBuildingIsCommutative]

$$
\{x, y\}=\{y, x\}
$$

The singleton can be expressed as a special case of a class pair.
Proposition 33. $\qquad$

$$
\{x\}=\{x, x\}
$$

Being a set is equivalent to being element of a class pair.
Proposition 34.
[theorem: setEqui InClassPair]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow x \in\{x, y\}
$$

For sets being an element is equal to being a subclass of its singleton.
Proposition 35.
[theorem:elementEquiSingletonSubclass]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow(x \in y \leftrightarrow\{x\} \subseteq y)
$$

Identity of set pairs behaves as expected.
Proposition 36. $\qquad$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(v)) \rightarrow(\{x, y\}=\{u, v\} \rightarrow((x= \\
u \wedge y=v) \vee(x=v \wedge y=u)))
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.5 Infinite Boolean Operators

It is also possible to build infinite intersections and unions. It must only be declared which classes are used to build the result.

For a class of sets a product is defined: all sets that are elements of each set are member of the product.

Definition 13 (Set Product). $\qquad$

$$
\bigcap x=\{z \mid \forall y(y \in x \rightarrow z \in y)\}
$$

This function can be viewed as a generalization of the intersection operation. See also proposition 46.

We also say the class $x$ defines a family of sets. Any element of $x$ is a member of the family.
As usual we can describe the membership of the set product as follows.
Proposition 37.

$$
z \in \bigcap x \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge \forall y(y \in x \rightarrow z \in y))
$$

We find for the special case of $x=\emptyset$.

Proposition 38.
[theoren: enptySetProduct]
$\bigcap \emptyset=\mathfrak{V}$

If we build the set product of a non empty class we can drop the set condition.
Proposition 39. [theorem: nonEinptysetProductNembership]

$$
x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow(z \in \bigcap x \leftrightarrow \forall y(y \in x \rightarrow z \in y))
$$

Analogous we can define the set sum. Exactly the elements, that are member of some set in the family, should be member of the set sum.

Definition 14 (Set Sum). [definition:setsum]

$$
\bigcup x=\{z \mid \exists y(y \in x \wedge z \in y)\}
$$

The set sum membership can be expressed by the following formula.
Proposition 40.
[theorem: setSumMembership]

$$
z \in \bigcup x \leftrightarrow \exists y(y \in x \wedge z \in y)
$$

Here we can drop the set condition $\mathfrak{M}(z)$.
For the empty class we obtain.
Proposition 41.
[theoren: emptysetsum]

$$
U \emptyset=\emptyset
$$

The subclass relation behaves to the set product and sum as follows.
Proposition 42.
[theoren: subsetSumproductImplication]

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \subseteq y \rightarrow \bigcap y \subseteq \bigcap x  \tag{a}\\
& x \subseteq y \rightarrow \bigcup x \subseteq \bigcup y \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

The membership relation induces subclass relations in the following way.
Proposition 43. $\qquad$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \in y \rightarrow x \subseteq \bigcup y  \tag{a}\\
& x \in y \rightarrow \bigcap y \subseteq x \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

The union and intersection operation match with the set sum and set product as follows.

Proposition 44.
[theorem:unionIntersectionSetSumProduct]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bigcap(x \cup y)=(\bigcap x \cap \bigcap y) \\
& \bigcup(x \cup y)=(\bigcup x \cup \bigcup y) \\
& \bigcup(x \cap y) \subseteq(\bigcup x \cap \bigcup y) \tag{c}
\end{align*}
$$

(a)
(b)

In case of a non empty set family we have this.

Proposition 45. [theorem: DonEnptysumproductSubset]

$$
\forall x(x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \bigcap x \subseteq \bigcup x)
$$

For set pairs we get the expected results.
Proposition 46. [theoren: :setPairseetSumproduct]

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \bigcap\{x, y\}=(x \cap y)  \tag{a}\\
& (\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \bigcup\{x, y\}=(x \cup y) \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

For set singletons we get analogous statements.
Proposition 47.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \bigcap\{x\}=x  \tag{a}\\
& \mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \bigcup\{x\}=x \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.6 Power Class Building

An important operator is still missing.
The subset relation helps us to create another class operator: the power class operation.

Definition 15 (Power Class). [deffinition:power]

$$
\mathfrak{P}(x)=\{z \mid z \subseteq x\}
$$

We want to remind you that only sets can be members of the power class. For this new operator the following propositions hold.

Proposition 48.
[theorem:powerPropositions]

$$
\begin{gathered}
z \in \mathfrak{P}(x) \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{M}(z) \wedge z \subseteq x) \\
\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{V})=\mathfrak{V} \\
\mathfrak{P}(\emptyset)=\{\emptyset\} \\
\mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow x \in \mathfrak{P}(x) \\
x \subseteq y \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(y) \\
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{P}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(y)) \rightarrow x \subseteq y \\
\mathfrak{P}((x \cap y))=(\mathfrak{P}(x) \cap \mathfrak{P}(y)) \\
(\mathfrak{P}(x) \cup \mathfrak{P}(y)) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}((x \cup y)) \\
x \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\bigcup x) \\
\cup \mathfrak{P}(x) \subseteq x
\end{gathered}
$$

Especially for the power class of a set we can sharpen proposition 48.
Proposition 49.
[theoren :powersetPropositions]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow x=\bigcup \mathfrak{P}(x)
$$

For a set building the power class and afterwards building the set sum eliminate each other. Later on we can drop the set condition because of new axioms.

## Chapter 3

## Sets, Relations and Functions

In this chapter we concentrate on the property is a set and we introduce new axioms to assure the existence of sets.

To be able to define relations within our theory we need the new class operator ordered pair. With this operator we can define Cartesian products of classes. Relations are no other than subclasses of Cartesian products and fulfill the laws of an universal algebra.
A special kind of relations are the equivalence relations which form a more abstract form of equality. Functions are also a special kind of relations. The axiom of replacement guaranties that sets are mapped onto sets.

### 3.1 Sets

For the presentation of the boolean class algebra we needed no set theoretic axioms. In the following we get some more axioms that give conditions for being a set.

The empty class should be a set.
Axiom 4 (Empty Set Axiom). $\qquad$
$\mathfrak{M}(\emptyset)$

This is the first time we know about the existence of a set.
To ensure the set property for set pairs we have out next axiom.
Axiom 5 (Pairing Set Axiom). [axiom:pairingset]

$$
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\{x, y\})
$$

The sum set of a set should be a set too.
Axiom 6 (Axiom of the Sum Set). $\qquad$

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\bigcup x)
$$

The power class of a set should also be a set.

Axiom 7 (Power Set Axiom). [axiom:powerset]

$$
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{P}(x))
$$

The subclass of a set should also be a set.
Axiom 8 (Subset Axiom). [axion:subset]

$$
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge y \subseteq x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(y)
$$

The above set axioms enables us to construct sets. Starting with axiom 4 we have one first set $\emptyset$. By applying axiom 7 we get the set $\{\emptyset\}$. Building the power class again provides the set $\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\}$. By repeating this procedure we get an infinite number of sets. ${ }^{1}$
Furthermore we notice that our current axioms can show only the existence of sets with a finite number of members. These finite classes are 'save' in the following sense: they alone can not lead to the contradictions as the unrestricted set theory of Zermelo.
The new axioms enable us to derive some more propositions.
Proposition 50. [theorem: isset]

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\neg \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge y \subseteq x) \rightarrow \neg \mathfrak{M}(x)  \tag{a}\\
\neg \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{V})  \tag{b}\\
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}((x \cup y))  \tag{c}\\
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}((x \cap y))  \tag{d}\\
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\{x\})  \tag{e}\\
\mathfrak{M}(x) \rightarrow \neg \mathfrak{M}(\bar{x})  \tag{f}\\
x=\bigcup \mathfrak{P}(x)  \tag{g}\\
\mathfrak{M}(x) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\bigcup x)  \tag{h}\\
\bigcap \mathfrak{V}=\emptyset  \tag{i}\\
x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}=\mathfrak{V}  \tag{j}\\
\lfloor\emptyset(\bigcap x) \tag{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

We conclude observing we didn't use axiom 4 ((Empty Set Axiom) in our axioms yet. This means all previous propositions are valid even if we can not guarantee the existence of one single set.

### 3.2 Ordered Pair

The concept of an ordered pair is vital for our further development. It enables us to arrange objects. Till now our object collections did not depend on the order of gathering. We wish to figure out which element was first and which element was second after wards.
Our definition of an ordered pair $\langle x, y\rangle$ is due to $N$. Wiener (1914) and K. Kuratowski (1921).

Definition 16 (Ordered Pair). [def inition: orderedPair]

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=\{\{x\},\{x, y\}\}
$$

For an ordered pair of sets the order of the defining elements matters. Ordered pairs should only be identical if their first elements are identical and their second elements are identical.

[^5]
## Proposition 51.

$(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(u) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(v)) \rightarrow(\langle x, y\rangle=\langle u, v\rangle \rightarrow(x=u \wedge y=v))$

An ordered pair made of sets is also a set. The reverse is also true.
Proposition 52. $\qquad$

$$
(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(y)) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\langle x, y\rangle)
$$

If one of the classes is not a set the resulting ordered pair is identical with the universal class.

Proposition 53. $\qquad$

$$
(\neg \mathfrak{M}(x) \vee \neg \mathfrak{M}(y)) \rightarrow\langle x, y\rangle=\mathfrak{V}
$$

To speak of ordered pairs we need a predicate that says 'is an ordered pair'.
Definition 17 (Ordered Pair Property). [definition: isorderedPair]

$$
\text { isOrderedPair }(x) \leftrightarrow \exists u \exists v x=\langle u, v\rangle
$$

We notice that $\mathfrak{V}$ is also an ordered pair. But because we mostly speak about class members we must only deal with sets that might be ordered pairs.

### 3.3 Cartesian Product

For the ordered pairs we need a meta structure. We just assemble ordered pairs into a class.
The Cartesian product ${ }^{2}$ also called direct product is the class of all ordered pairs, which elements are members of the origin classes.

Definition 18 (Cartesian Product).

$$
(x \times y)=\{z \mid \exists u \exists v(u \in x \wedge v \in y \wedge z=\langle u, v\rangle)\}
$$

### 3.4 Relations

It is important to be able to express relations between mathematical objects and to handle them as objects too. It turns out that we do not have to introduce new kinds of objects. Our current structures are sufficient.

We are now able to define a relation within our set theory.
Definition 19 (Relation).

$$
\mathfrak{R e l}(x) \leftrightarrow \forall y(y \in x \rightarrow \text { isOrderedPair }(y))
$$

Some propositions about relations.
Proposition 54.
[theorem: relationProperties]

[^6]\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathfrak{R e l}(\emptyset) \\
\mathfrak{R e l}((\mathfrak{V} \times \mathfrak{V})) \\
(\mathfrak{R e l}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{R e l}(y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R e l}((x \cap y))  \tag{c}\\
(\mathfrak{R e l}(x) \wedge \mathfrak{R e l}(y)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R e l}((x \cup y)) \tag{d}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

We now give an universal definition of the concept domain.
Definition 20 (Domain). [def inition: domain]

$$
\mathfrak{D o m}(x)=\{y \mid \exists z\langle y, z\rangle \in x\}
$$

Analogous to the domain we specify the range of a class.
Definition 21 (Range). [def inition:range]

$$
\mathfrak{R n g}(x)=\{y \mid \exists z\langle z, y\rangle \in x\}
$$

### 3.5 Relation Algebra

$+++$

### 3.6 Equivalence Relations

$+++$

### 3.7 Maps and Functions

$+++$
A function is just a special sort of relation.
Definition 22 (Function). [def inition: function]

$$
\mathfrak{F u n c t}(x) \leftrightarrow(\mathfrak{R e l}(x) \wedge \forall y(y \in \mathfrak{D o m}(x) \rightarrow \exists!z\langle y, z\rangle \in x))
$$

If the domain of a function is a set so its range should be a set too.
Axiom 9 (Fraenkel's Replacement Axiom).

$$
(\mathfrak{F u n c t}(f) \wedge \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{D o m}(f))) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{R n g}(f))
$$

## Chapter 4

## Natural Numbers

$+++$

### 4.1 Foundation and Infinity

$+++$
Sets $x$ should not contain itself as a member, or contain an element that contains $x$. To avoid these and further membership circles we provide the following axiom.

Axiom 10 (Axiom of Foundation). [axiom: foundation]

$$
x \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \exists y(y \in x \wedge(y \cap x)=\emptyset)
$$

This axiom is also called axiom of regularity.
A canonical class extension is the union with its singleton.
Definition 23 (Successor).
[def inition: successor]

$$
x^{\prime}=(x \cup\{x\})
$$

Because $x \notin x$ the successor function adds just one element to the original class.
We want to have a set with an infinite number of elements. So we just postulate its existence.

Axiom 11 (Axiom of Infinity). [axion: infinity]

$$
\exists x\left(\mathfrak{M}(x) \wedge \emptyset \in x \wedge \forall y\left(y \in x \rightarrow y^{\prime} \in x\right)\right)
$$

### 4.2 Definition and Basic Properties

$+++$

### 4.3 Induction

+++
4.4 Sequences and Normal Functions
$+++$

### 4.5 Recursion

+++

## Chapter 5

## Axiom of Choice

$+++$

### 5.1 Well-Ordering

## MISSING! OTHER: +++

Now we come to the famous axiom of choice. We write it down for relations.
Axiom 12 (Axiom of Choice). [axion:choice]
$\mathfrak{R e l}(x) \rightarrow \exists y(\mathfrak{F u n c t}(y) \rightarrow(y \subseteq x \wedge \mathfrak{D o m}(x)=\mathfrak{D o m}(y)))$

### 5.2 Applications of the Axiom of Choice

## Chapter 6

## Continuum

MISSING! OTHER:
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Despite of this, in the original text of this document the formulas of axioms, definitions and propositions are written in a formal language. The original text is a XML file with a syntax defined by the XSD http://www.qedeq.org/current/xml/qedeq.xsd. A more detailed description of the formula language is given in http://www.qedeq.org/current/doc/project/ qedeq_logic_language_en.pdf.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Additional to the identity definition we need the following form of the extensionality axiom: $(x=y \wedge x \in z) \rightarrow y \in z$. This is a special case of the Leibniz's replacement rule that we know already as axiom 8 [1]. The correctness of the general rule can be proved by recursion over formula building. See also [4] $\S 6$.

    Alternatively one can define $(x=y \leftrightarrow(\forall z(x \in z \rightarrow y \in z)) \wedge \forall z(z \in x \rightarrow z \in y))$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The quantification over $z$ is restricted to sets.
    ${ }^{4}$ A little bit more formal: within a predicative formula all quantifier variables run over sets: $\forall \mathfrak{M}(x) \exists \mathfrak{M}(y) \ldots$
    ${ }^{5}$ Some other axioms - similar to the following - are needed as well.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Luckily we formulated the substitution rules with this extension already in our mind, so we have nothing to do.
    ${ }^{7}$ A conservative extension is defined by the following. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a language and $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ an extension of $\mathfrak{L}$. Because $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime} \supset \mathfrak{L}$ it follows Formula $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime} \supset$ Formula $_{\mathfrak{L}}$. If now for every set of formulas $\Gamma \subseteq$ Formula $_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and each formula $\alpha \in$ Formula $_{\mathfrak{L}}$ this proposition holds: $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}} \alpha \Rightarrow$ $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathfrak{L}} \alpha$, then $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ is called a conservative extension of $\mathfrak{L}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other authors as K. Gödel for example define $\{x\}=\{y \mid y=x\}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ One can easily show that they are all different.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Named after the the French philosopher and mathematician $R$. Descartes also known as Cartesius.

